

Zorgeloos online (For confidence online)





Names, numbers, routes

Marco Davids

Extra Lecture for course Security Services for the IoT (SSI) [virtual session] – April 21th 2021, 11:45 – 12:30





SIDN Labs

https://www.sidnlabs.nl/en/about-sidnlabs





How the internet works, in one tweet:

A name (of a resource) indicates what we seek. An address indicates where it is. A route indicates how to get there.

– RFC760 and RFC761

John Shoch



MARCO C & 1 11 C A name (of a resource) indicates what we seek. An address indicates where it is. A route indicates how to get there. (John Shoch) 12:33 p.m. · 16 apr. 2020 · Twitter Web App Tweet vertalen



Why sidn of www.sidn.team Some maybe not so familiar:

Some extensions look quite familiar:



Top-level domains



 ± 250



±1300



http://www.marco.panizza.name/dispenseTM/slides/TLD/ccTLD_worldmap.html

https://newgtlds.icann.org/en/program-status/statistics

Domain Name System (DNS)

- Won't explain it here, you (should) know the drill
- Concept is simple (like chess)
- Reality is not quite that simple (understatement)
- Remember; very crucial component!
- Running a critical DNS infrastructure is a story by itself
- We'll get to that



Domain Name System (DNS)





DNS



SOA record

NS set





86400 IN SOA a.root-servers.net. nstld.verisign-grs.com. (
2018061800 ; serial
1800 ; refresh (30 minutes)
900 : retry (15 minutes)
604800 ; expire (1 west)
86400 ; minimum (1 day)
)
86400 IN RRSIG SOA 8 0 86400 (
20180701050000 20180618040000 39570 .
WgfNkPMsdwK2RrfzDgkFEPE3fnLFBPSwSKm2ovSZPqR
5ir4Xxme5k55bTLxfjtZKoISwM1ECHsjwTiJbfZ09X8
C MscCwm0ms4Zmf7s7NWjJL1K3FU/1LxmOUPmuMXpMUmGL
MSq424Pqu34XwCbXwRbhp0eBGk17v/By30U+EVbDJU4B
HOINXQLWwSVtQ0UXvFSPe1G4Ffg6wL5fFVEAgAWOG5G
0AQx9HyhHTVKcR4Q8mVa+wzaZ7Cc6wRpqQbZjVz6s1MJ
HYv+wZWy5VM43DcDCGPXm6uN9u5/trcQRo2K1hv0CbMr
LoTcuWBFNQMfHxF8P2n7H3bvHbj+rxUFEQ==)
518400 IN NS a.root-servers.net.
518400 IN NS b.root-servers.net.
518400 IN NS c.root-servers.net.
518400 IN NS d.root-servers.net.
518400 - 21 NS o poot-services
518400 IN NS f.root-servers.net.
518400 IN NS g.root-servers.net.
518400 IN NS h.root-servers.net.
518400 IN NS i.root-servers.net.
518400 IN NS j.root-servers.net.
518400 TN NS hardet serverstrukt
JI0400 IN NS l.root-servers.net.
518400 IN NS m.root-servers.net.
518400 IN RRSIG NS 8 0 518400 (
20180701050000 20180618040000 39570 .
WThfxiYZ99ammqB4xIrpwLIA50Kc6Rzu79PPDa4KjbV0
A GwZowEzmA5zFBuq7bslHutAS0NS5jlqc9MDxnGMiQ1
ZpahoxfQVzaUxsnuxutTVZcp8yk4FIuoRFDfAjHv8M3x
The second secon
@8jNaPMWWzIPw/P7a/0T4Th7d0VrF3NYqXYflwU1U3iB
jUuZmfIWNxAD2GBxXT0kRPCJUVgfQB1VEarOpchsEZ7+
fmzNKdbsKgjdbBPAJ1k6oekEV08ElITfB+zCNH1ywjgT
peDv9IwA10f6ogsbRiHF0/slcIEW0Y5cZw==)

DNSSEC





DNSSEC Key Signing Ceremony



LABS

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZTxweLGjZSU

DNSSEC Key Signing Ceremony





Open the Credential Safe #2

Step	Activity	Initials	Time
7.	CA and IW1 brings a flashlight then escorts SSC2, COs into the safe room.		
8.	SSC2 opens Safe #2 while shielding the combination from the camera.		
9.	SSC2 removes the existing safe log and shows the most recent page to the audit camera.		
	SSC2 obtains the pre-printed safe log from IW1, then writes the date/time and signature on the safe log where "Open Safe" is indicated.		
	IW1 verifies this entry, then initials it.		

version 2.0

LABS



About SIDN

Stichting Internet Domeinregistratie Nederland

- Registry and designated manager for .nl ccTLD
 - .nl exists since 1986, SIDN since 1996
 - ~100 FTE (~40% at ICT, 12% at Labs)
- ~ 6.2 million .nl domain names
 - > 56% signed with DNSSEC
- Registry system + DNS infrastructure
- RSP for .politie, .amsterdam and .aw
- Located in Arnhem (NL)





SIDN, the registry for .nl



COVID-19 (we noticed something too)

Thousands of related domains registered.



Legacy scheme:



2001:db8::198:51:100:123 New scheme:



(nerdy detail)

IP-address notations are in user friendly format. This also works:

ping 1590075171

Or:

http://1590075171



Make no mistake...

192.168.0.1



Anyway... as you know:

Every device directly connected to the internet needs a unique* IP address.



* except for anycast, but more on that later

Managing the IP address space



The mission of ICANN is to ensure the stable and secure operation of the Internet's unique identifier systems

ICANN: the Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers)



https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/governance/bylaws-en/



The mission of ICANN is to ensure the stable and secure operation of the Internet's unique identifier systems



ICANN (the Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers)



https://www.icann.org/

Managing the IP address space

https://www.iana.org/assignments/ipv4-address-space/ https://www.iana.org/assignments/ipv6-address-space/



IANA (Internet Assigned Numbers Authority) \rightarrow RIRs \rightarrow LIRs



https://www.nro.net/

Managing a whole lot more! (protocol assignments)

https://www.iana.org/assignments/dns-parameters/dns-parameters.xhtml#dns-parameters-12



"We reject kings, presidents and voting. We believe in rough consensus and running code" -- David Clark

IETF, Internet Engineering Task Force:

- Open standards organization, with no formal membership
- Everyone can join in (in person or via mailing lists)
- Under the auspices of the Internet Society (ISOC)
- Large number of working groups and informal discussion groups
- Rough consensus^{*} is the primary basis for decision making.
- Often slow processes!
- But lots of RFC's ! Over 8778 and many more drafts.



* https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7282

IETF: bottom-up standards development



IETF: many **RFC's**

The mission of the IETE is to make the Internet work better by producing high quality, relevant technical documents that influence the way people design, use, and manage the Internet.

	R. Gieben Google	•	In
Independent Submission 7129	W. Mekking NLnet Labs February 2014	•	Ex
Category: Informational ISSN: 2070-1721	1011	•	BC
suthenticated Denial of Existence in	the DNS	•	Sta
Abstract stonce allows a res	olver to validate that the used to signal that	•	Hi
Authenticated denial of existence. It is a a certain domain name does not exist. It is a a domain name exists but does not have the spe a domain name exists but does not have the returning (RR) type you were asking for. When returning (RR) type you were asking for. When returning netensions (DNSSEC) response, a name	ecific resource record g a negative DNS server usually includes (NSEC3), this amount is	٠	Ur
Security Extends of the NSEC records. With New of the NSEC records. With New of three.	commentary and some ed by DNSSEC to provide		
authenticated denial-of-existence		Sta	atus (
		a	This Inter impro Offic: and st

- formational
- perimental

P

- This key relay mapping will help facilitate changing the DWSSEC chain of trust intact. andards track
- istoric
- nknown

This key relay mapping will help facilitate changing the DNSSEC chain of trust intact. Internet Protocol, Version 6 (IPv6) Specification

Abstract

Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)

https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2026

Luternet Engineering Task to Request for Comments: 108 K to Catananis ctandanda made

Category: Standards Track

of this Memo

document specifies an Internet standards track protocol for the rnet community, and requests discussion and suggestions for ovements. Please refer to the current edition of the "Internet ial Protocol Standards" (STD 1) for the standardization state tatus of this protocol. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

Key Relay Mapping for the Extensible Provisioning Protocol

This document describes an Extensible Provisioning Protocol (EPP) This document describes an Extensible Provisioning Provision in the poli queue defined in REC 5730.



H.W. Ribbers M.W. Groeneweg

A.L.J. R. Gieben Verschuren

February 2017

🖬 🖕 http://www.arkko.com/tools/allstats/thenetherlands.html

A personal favorite: RFC1925 😂



04110	11 T. C	
	Informational	
RFC 1	925	[Page 1]
	Fundamental Trutha as	
	Interview of Networking	1 .
(3)	With sufficient	1 April 1996
	not necessarily pigs fly just of	
	are going to land idea. It is hard . Howe	ver, this is
	as they fly overhead it could be dangered	re where they
(4)	Some under our sit	ting under them
,	underst in life can new	enen
	networking unless experienced as fully appreciate	
	builds can never be fully firsthand. Some this	a nor
	network	ings in
	equipment nor runs a	ne who neither
(5)	It is always possil	operational
	into a single complex to aglutenate multi-	
	this is a bad idea	ate problems
61 -	the solution. In	most cases
+	the seasier to move a problem	
a	rchitage to a different around (for event)	
	than it is to and of the overall not	, by moving
(6a) (corolland	work
	indirection. It is always possible	
	anot	her lovel
) It	is always something	ior revel of
17	und chiling	
()	a) (corollary). Good Fact	
	have all three).	
It	is more	'ou can't
	-s more complicated than you this	
For	all leanna	
	sources, matever it is, you and	
(9a)	(corollary) Even	
	solve than it seems liverking problem along	
0.00	it should.	longer to
one	size never fits all.	
Ever	V old the	
a di	fferent and will be proposed and	
	presentation, regardland with a different	
(11a)	(corollars) (corollars) of whether it wor	ks and
	. See fule ba.	
in pr	otocol design, port	
IS NO	thing left to add but has been reached	
way.	but when there is nothing the	n there
	Sching left	to take



Playing field of IETF?

The Internet Hourglass





Abstraction layers always +1





https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Internet_protocol_suite#Comparison_of_TCP/IP_and_OSI_layering

Also...









Top is mostly what the news is about



Bottom is also very interesting, but





http://www.eurofiber.nl

https://www.fiberoptictel.com/submarine-fiber-optic-cables-international-communications/



(and others, like Bluetooth)





Playing field of IETF:





(end of part 2: numbers, etc.)







Here's an average network



ABS
Here's an average network















Some terminlogy









LABS

























Traffic engineering with BGP communities

- Transitive attribute tags that can be applied on incoming or outgoing prefixes to achieve a certain goal.
- For example: local pref adjustments, geographic restrictions, AS-path prepending or blackholing.
- No universal definitions, except some well-known ones

```
route-server> show ip bgp 194.0.5.0/24
BGP routing table entry for 194.0.5.0/24
Paths: (23 available, best #18, table Default-IP-Routing-Table)
Not advertised to any peer
20473 210004
206.53.202.75 from 216.218.252.190 (216.218.252.167)
Origin IGP, metric 0, localpref 100, valid, internal
Large Community: 6695:1000:1 20473:0:3021840115 210004:3000:1004
Originator: 216.218.252.167, Cluster list: 216.218.252.190
Last update: Wed Apr 15 16:06:36 2020
```



RPKI: Resource Public Key Infrastructure

- A public key infrastructure framework designed to secure BGP
- Resource certification of IP-prefixes / ASN combination
- Prevents (to some extend) route-hijacking



Try your own ISP: <u>https://isbgpsafeyet.com/</u>







.ABS



Challenges: DDoS (record breaking sometimes)

2000

1800

100



Peak Attack Sizes Through March 2018





Main reasons: IoT devices





IoT powered botnets



The solution to both challenges: DNS global anycast

- Just a clever 'network hack' to provide (a lot of) resilience.
 - And better performance (shorter RTT's)
- Works with BGP
- Well understood solution, deployed in many places
 - The DNS root servers (for many years)
 - 1.1.1.1, 8.8.8.8, 9.9.9.9, 64.6.64.6, OpenDNS and more
- Originally only in UDP environments
 - But proven in TCP environments as well (i.e. CloudFlare)















DNS global anycast (for .)







DNS global anycast (for .)



1378 servers! http://www.root-servers.org/



DNS global anycast (for .nl)



Netnod instances for .nl

SDILABS

Additional approach: DNS *local* anycast

- In essence the same principle as global anycast
- But with a deliberately <u>restricted catchment</u>.
- Dedicated instances for exclusive use by (big) ISP's
 - Focus on Netherlands
 - Must have reasonable abuse response capacities
 - Must comply to certain requirements (like BCP38 and IPv6)
- Nothing more, nothing less (basically)

Goals	Non Goals
Resilience (win the rat race)	Latency (in contrast to global anycast)
Availability (at least for our most important users)	Bandwidth (DNS doesn't consume that much, yet)










Concluding

- We learned (a bit) about names, numbers, routes
 - and about IETF, ICANN, SIDN
- Running the core of the internet is not a trivial task
 - many people, quite a lot of organizations and stake holders
- Many challenges have been overcome, a lot more to go
 - abuse, politics, legislation, dependency
 - resilience (anycast)
 - addressing, scaling (keep IoT in mind)
- The internet needs constant maintenance and innovation
- Together we can make that happen 😁



"The Internet works, because a lot of people **cooperate** to do things together"

> – Jon Postel (1943-1998)



Questions, discussion?





Thank You!



